

Chapter 4

Conditioning and Martingale

AITHUS C. MAO ON 12TH MARCH 2024

The martingale replace the process of completely independence with similar repetition.

4.1 Conditioning

Let X be a random variable on a probability space $(E, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$.

Definition 4.1.1 (Conditional Expectation w.r.t. a σ -algebra). *Let \mathcal{G}' be a sub- σ -algebra w.r.t. \mathcal{G} , the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}']$ is any random variable Y on \mathcal{G}' such that*

$$\text{for all } A \in \mathcal{G}', \int_A X d\mathbb{P} = \int_A Y d\mathbb{P}.$$

Definition 4.1.2 (Conditional Expectation w.r.t. a Random Variable). *Given two random variables X and Y on $(E, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$, the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ is any random variable Z on $(E, \sigma(Y), \mathbb{P})$ such that for all $A \in \sigma(Y)$, $\int_A X d\mathbb{P} = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}$.*

Lemma 4.1.1 (Uniqueness). *All conditional expectations of one r.v. on a σ -algebra (or on another r.v.) is a.s. equal.*

Proof. We will only prove the case of conditioning on a σ -algebra, and the case of r.v. would be straightforward. Let \mathcal{G}' be a sub- σ -algebra w.r.t. \mathcal{G} , Y and Z be two r.v.s that are X conditioning on \mathcal{G}' .

Let $A := \{x|Y(x) > Z(x)\}$. It can be easily verified that A is \mathcal{G}' -measurable. Thus, $\int_A Y d\mathbb{P} = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}$, i.e., $\int_A (Y - Z) d\mathbb{P} = 0$. As $Y(x) > Z(x)$ for all x in A , we must have that $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$. Similarly, we can also prove that $\mathbb{P}(\{x|Y(x) < Z(x)\}) = 0$. Consequently, $\mathbb{P}(\{x|Y(x) \neq Z(x)\}) = 0$, and this completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.1.1. As stated in [math stackexchange](#): The existence of conditional expectation is more difficult. The proofs I've seen either use the Radon-Nikodym theorem, or the Riesz representation theorem in Hilbert space. Any measure-theoretic probability book will have a proof.

Lemma 4.1.2. *Two random variables are independent iff the σ -algebras generated by them are independent.*

Proof. C. Mao-TODO \square

4.2 Martingale

A martingale is a stochastic process where the previous r.v.s stacking on previous r.v.s by adding details.

Definition 4.2.1 (Martingale). *A real-valued stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ is called a martingale if X is adapted to a filtration $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}^*}$, X_t is finite integrable for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and*

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$$

a.s. whenever $s < t$.

Definition 4.2.2 (Martingale Difference Sequence). *A real-valued stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ is called a martingale difference sequence if X is adapted to a filtration \mathcal{F} , each X_t is finite integrable, and*

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$$

a.s. whenever $s < t$.

* A filtration is an index σ -algebras that the former is sub- σ -algebras of the latter. X_t is \mathcal{F}_t measurable for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

4.3 Lemma

Given two random variables X, Y on \mathcal{E} with $\sigma(X) \subseteq \sigma(Y)$, what would it be like if we apply conditioning on $\sigma(X)$ (i.e., X) to functions like $f(X, Y)$? $f(X, Y)$ shall be measurable w.r.t. $\sigma(Y)$.

Lemma 4.3.1. *If $f(X, Y) = XY$, we shall have that, for all $A \in \sigma(X)$,*

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}[XY|X]d\mathbb{P} = \int_A X\mathbb{E}[Y|X]d\mathbb{P}.$$

Proof. I shall only prove the case that both X and Y are simple functions on E , and the extension to other integrable functions should be easy. Let $R(X)$ and $R(Y)$ be the possible values taken by X and Y . For any $x \in R(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X^{-1}(x)} \mathbb{E}[XY|X]d\mathbb{P} &= \int_{X^{-1}(x)} xYd\mathbb{P} = x \int_{X^{-1}(x)} Yd\mathbb{P} \\ &= x \int_{X^{-1}(x)} \mathbb{E}[Y|X]d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{X^{-1}(x)} x\mathbb{E}[Y|X]d\mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $A \in \sigma(X)$, by the disjoint property of $X^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in R(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \mathbb{E}[XY|X]d\mathbb{P} &= \sum_{x \in X(A)} \int_{X^{-1}(x)} \mathbb{E}[XY|X]d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \sum_{x \in X(A)} \int_{X^{-1}(x)} x\mathbb{E}[Y|X]d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_A X\mathbb{E}[Y|X]d\mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

C. Mao-TODO: The proof would be much simpler if we use the uniqueness of the conditional expectation. □

4.4 Azuma-Hoeffding (Azuma's) Inequality

Theorem 4.4.1 (Azuma-Hoeffding Inequality). *Suppose $(X_t)_{t \in [N]}$ is a super-martingale adapting to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [N]}$ and[†]*

$$|X_k - X_{k-1}| \leq c_k, \quad (4.1)$$

almost surely for all $k \in [1, N]$. Then for all positive integers N and all positive real ϵ ,

$$P(X_N - X_0 \geq \epsilon) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2}{2 \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^2}\right).$$

proof sketch. We list the key components of the proof in the following.

- For any $A \in \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, $X \in \mathcal{E}'$, $Y \in \mathcal{E}$, and a measure μ on \mathcal{E} ,

$$\int_A f(X, Y) d\mu = \int_A \mathbb{E}[f(X, Y) | \mathcal{E}'] d\mu.$$

We can take the whole set E as A .[‡]

- We can thus use the induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^N [X_k - X_{k-1}]\right)\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^N [X_k - X_{k-1}]\right) | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right]\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} [X_k - X_{k-1}]\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda [X_N - X_{N-1}]) | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right]\right]. \end{aligned}$$

(By Lemma 4.3.1)

By Eq. (4.1) and the definition of super-martingales, it holds almost surely that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda [X_k - X_{k-1}]) | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{c_k^2 \lambda^2}{8}\right).$$

We can do inductions on $[2, N]$ and get $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^N [X_k - X_{k-1}]\right)\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^2}{8}\right)$.

We can apply the Chernoff bound and get the desired result.

□

[†] $[N] = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $[M, N] = \{M, \dots, N\}$. The super-martingale requires that $\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \geq 0$ a.s. whenever $t \geq s$.

[‡] This is due to the definition of the conditioning.

4.5 Fun Facts

Fact 1. For two σ -algebras \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' with $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, we only have \mathcal{G}' -measurable \rightarrow \mathcal{G} -measurable. Yet \mathcal{G} -measure implies \mathcal{G}' -measure.

